

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/650,726	9	08/29/2003	Chihiro Uematsu	1021.43085X00	9438	
20457	7590	03/02/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		RY, STOUT & K	BABIC, CHRI	BABIC, CHRISTOPHER M		
SUITE 1800		VIELIVIII SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA	22209-3873	1637			

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/650,726	UEMATSU ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Christopher M. Babic	1637		

	Christopher M. Babic	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date. 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in coce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 706.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))	corresponding number of finally rej		10 133403 101
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL "324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		inpliant / inclianche	(1 102-02-1).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-11</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil ovided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a No nd sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>nc</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
		KENNETH R. HORLI PRIMARY EXAM	CK, PH.D INER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendments raise the new issues of: 1) The first and second sample are now recited as "different" from one another; and 2) Now included is the additional method step of "mixing" the first and second nucleotides.

Each of these issues would require further consideration and/or search on the part of the Examiner.

With regards to Applicant's remarks regarding Overbergh et al., the reference is not relied upon with regard to the rejection over Whitcombe. Overbergh is merely cited to demonstrate the inherent meaning of the phrase "real time or end point analysis", and did not constitute a new rejection. Furthermore, Applicant was made aware of the Overbergh reference in the NON-FINAL Office Action dated 6/28/2005, and did not address this issue in the response to that action dated 9/28/2005.